

CHESAPEAKE BAY BRIDGE AND TUNNEL DISTRICT

**PARALLEL THIMBLE SHOAL TUNNEL
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS
#PTST-15-1**

TO: All Offerors

FROM: Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel District

SUBJECT: Addendum No. 2
Request for Qualifications #PTST-15-1

DATE: June 12, 2015

Enclosed and effective this date is Addendum No. 2 to the subject Request for Qualifications (“RFQ”). This addendum does not delay the deadline to submit RFQ questions or the Statement of Qualifications (“SOQ”) submission date.

The answers to the submitted Offeror questions are attached as Attachment 1.

Offerors shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by completing and returning Attachment C to the RFQ with Offeror’s SOQ, including reference to this Cover Letter dated June 12, 2015.

Except as noted below, all other terms and conditions set forth in the RFQ remain unchanged.

- 1) The three page (3) page limit shown for the Executive Summary in Attachment D, SOQ Checklist, is hereby increased to five (5) pages.

Sincerely,

Robert Johnson
Director of Maintenance/Director of Parallel Thimble Shoal Tunnel Project

ATTACHMENT 1
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

**ADDENDUM 2 – ATTACHMENT 1
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

RFI #	DATE RECEIVED	RFQ REFERENCE	OFFEROR QUESTION	RESPONSE
1	5/26/15	4.3.7	If the Offeror, or equity member of the Offeror, is a subsidiary of a parent acting as guarantor, will it be acceptable to submit the parent company financials? For instance, can Company A submit Parent Company B financial statements?	No.
2	5/26/15	4.4.2 4.5.2 5.2.2	The SOQ is to be prepared on 8.5"x11" (except for form J-1, 2). Due to the size of the organizations we request that the organization charts be allowed to be prepared on 11"x17" and folded to 8.5"x11".	Offerors may prepare organization charts on 11" X 17" paper and fold them to 8.5" X 11".
3	5/26/15	4.6.1	Please confirm the following interpretation of which entity completes Attachment F-1 versus F-2: Y <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • F-1 – Offeror and any entities who make up the offeror (case of JV) • F-2 – Any subcontractors of the Offeror (i.e. Lead Designer, construction subcontractors on org chart, and subconsultants of lead designer) 	This interpretation is correct.
4	5/26/15	6.6.2	Please clarify does the District want a single executed Attachment G for the offeror or one from each entity completing Attachments F-1 and F-2.	See 4.6.2. Offeror should execute a single Attachment G which covers all business entities of Offeror or on Offeror's team.
5	5/26/15	5.2.2	The SOQ is required to be completed in Times New Roman size 12 font. Several of the attachments provided are not created with this font size and type. We request the following changes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Attachment E – Complete with font Arial size 10 • Attachment H - Complete with font Arial size 10 • Attachment I - Complete with font Arial size 10 (same size as current text) • Attachment K - Complete with font Times new Roman size 10 (same size as current text) 	Attachments can be completed using the same font as is used in the attachment. Section 5.1.2 will be amended accordingly in Addendum #1.

6	5/26/15	5.2.3	The RFQ requires that all supporting documentation being included in the appropriate section but with no specific direction for the financial statements required in 4.3.7. Three years of financial statements represent numerous pages. We request that these financial statements be allowed to be placed in an appendix We further request that we only submit one hard copy (in the SOQ marked “Original”) and one in electronic format	The financial statements shall be submitted pursuant to the SOQ checklist as the last part of the Offeror’s General Qualifications. There is no page limit or formatting requirements for the financial statements. All SOQs, original and copies shall be complete submissions including all requirements of the RFQ.
7	5/26/15	Att D	Please clarify that the page limits for Attachments F-1, F-2, G, and K are limits per executed form not for that SOQ section as a whole. We will have several entities that are required to submit these forms.	Correct. The page limits for Attachments F-1, F-2, G and K are limits per executed form.
8	5/26/15	Att D 4.4.1 4.5.1	Both Bored Tunnel Experience and ITT Experience page counts indicated in Attachment D are 2 per project. However, the related sections 4.4.1 and 4.5.1 indicate that “Any narrative statement provided by Offeror to amplify or supplement the Work History Forms shall be limited to 2 single pages. This would mean that the total page count per project could be 2 (Work History Form) + 2 pages to supplement = 4 pages per project. Please clarify if this interpretation is correct.	Forms J-1 and J-2 include a section for narrative on page 1 and an additional page of narrative equals a total of 2 pages of narrative for a total of 2 pages per Project, including the first page of the Form. The RFQ will be amended to clarify this in Addendum 1.
9	5/26/15	Att D	Please remove the page limits on the Surety letter requirement. The letter which includes several pages for Power of Attorney will exceed the 2 page limit.	Addendum 1 will remove the page limit for the Surety letter.
10	5/15/2015	4.2.5	Where the Offeror is a construction joint venture, does “Lead Contractor” mean: 1. All members of the construction joint venture, or 2. The leader / majority stakeholder (largest equity partner?) of the construction joint venture?	If the Offeror is a joint venture, the District will accept for evaluation on Form J-1 relevant project experience from any joint venture member who is performing at least 20% of the project work. This answer applies to the requirements for Lead Contractor project experience set forth in RFQ Sections 4.3.1, 4.4.1 and 4.5.1.
11	5/15/2015	4.3.1 Att D	Section 4.3.1 requires 3-6 projects to demonstrate the experience of the Lead Contractor and the Lead Designer. Under the SOQ checklist, Attachments J-1 and J-2 give the Lead Contractor and the Lead Designer 12 pages each for their projects (2 pages per project). Please confirm that the intent of the RFQ is that the Offeror may submit a maximum of 12 projects up to six projects each for the Lead Contractor and the Lead Designer.	Confirmed.

12	5/15/2015	2.3.2	<p>To our understanding, there are no fabricators of “pre-cast, pre-stressed spun cylinder piles.”</p> <p>Are both pre-stressed static-cast cylinder piles and post-tensioned spun-cast cylinder piles permitted?</p>	<p>“Pre-cast, pre-stressed spun cylinder piles” shall equate to “spun-cast concrete cylinder piles”, such as those manufactured by Gulf Coast Prestress, Inc. or Bayshore Concrete Products or equal. Static-cast cylinder piles are not allowed for use on this Project.</p>
13	5/15/2015	Att E	<p>This broad definition of Affiliates could result in an extensive list of Affiliated companies. In addition to listing the Offeror’s subsidiaries, may the Offeror limit this list to Affiliates that exercise direct / indirect control over the member companies of the Offeror?</p>	<p>The definition of Affiliate in Section 4.2.9 shall apply.</p>
14	5/28/2015	3.5.2 4.5.2	<p>Sections 3.5.2 and 4.5.2 request an Organizational Chart that includes relevant disciplines and clearly shows reporting relationships. For us to show our whole organization will require a 11x17 page. Section 5.2 implies that only work history forms may be submitted on 11x17 inch paper. Please confirm: (a) that the use of an 11x17 paper for the Organizational Chart is acceptable, and (b) that this chart will count as one page and will be added to the ten page limit in Section 4.5.2 (Immersed Tube Tunnel Organization), for a total of eleven pages. (c) Would the CBBT consider the use of a ten point font on the organizational chart?</p>	<p>See response to Question #2. 10-point Time Roman font may be used on organization charts and Section 5.2.1 shall be revised accordingly in Addendum 1. The 10 page maximum for Sections 3.5.2 and 4.5.2 is inclusive of the organization chart.</p>
15	5/28/2015	4.2.9	<p>Section 4.2.9 requires the Offeror to list all affiliated or subsidiary companies on Attachment E, which allows for 12 entries. Attachment D places a limit of one page on Attachment E. An Offeror may consist of several large firms, each with multiple affiliated or subsidiary companies that will not fit within the one page limit. Please change or remove the page limit on Attachment E to allow the Offeror to submit a compliant list.</p>	<p>Offerors may use continuation pages for Attachment E as may be necessary to comply with the requirements of Section 4.2.9.</p>
16	5/28/2015	4.2.5	<p>Please confirm that the “Lead Contractor” referenced in Section 4.2.5 (and throughout the RFQ) is defined as the member of the Offeror’s team, whether a single entity or a joint venture (incorporated or unincorporated), partnership, or limited liability company, that will serve as the prime/general contractor responsible for overall construction of the Project.</p>	<p>See answer to Question #10.</p>

17	5/28/2015	4.2.5	Please confirm that the “Lead Designer” referenced in Section 4.2.5 (and throughout the RFQ) is defined as the member of the Offeror’s team, whether a single entity or a joint venture (incorporated or unincorporated), partnership, or limited liability company, that will serve as the prime design consulting firm responsible for the overall design of the Project.	Confirmed.
18	5/28/2015	3.4.3	Section 3.4.3, bullet 3 says (in part) “The Design Manager (DM) shall be the Engineer of Record for the project and shall be eligible to be a licensed Professional Engineer in the Commonwealth of Virginia.” Please clarify that “Engineer of Record” means that the DM speaks as the final authority on the team regarding engineering issues; not that the DM is expected to have personally sealed all plan sheets (even though the DM has to be a Virginia PE).	Confirmed.
19	5/28/2015	3.3	Section 3.3 (Offeror’s General Qualifications) states that an Offeror shall receive higher qualification scores for projects constructed in similar environments. Are we correct to understand that in the context of the Thimble Shoal Parallel Tunnel project that “environment” includes: • Projects constructed in the United States, under the rules and regulations in force in this country • Projects constructed in the Mid-Atlantic region • Projects constructed in a similar geophysical environment • Projects constructed in a similar metocean environment • Projects constructed in a similar marketplace environment, including utilization of regional labor and vendor resources.	The term “similar environment” means a similar physical (i.e., geotechnical and marine) environment.
20	5/28/2015	4.3.6	Section 4.3.6 (Safety Record) requires that each individual equity owner of the Offeror submit the forms provided in Attachment K along with the forms and logs identified by Attachment K. Please change the page limit (currently set at two pages) shown on Attachment D to allow the Offeror to submit all required forms and logs.	The 2 page limit is per company, however the page limit may be exceeded as may be necessary to provide the details or explanations called for in Attachment K. A clarification will be provided in Addendum 1.
21	5/28/2015	Att J-1 Att J-2	The Work History Forms, Attachments J-1 and J-2, ask for “Name of Lead Engineer for the project” in block g. Please confirm that for Attachment J-2 this information will be the same as provided in block b for the “Prime Design Consulting Firm”.	Confirmed.

22	6/1/2015	2.6	<p>Item 5 of Section 2.6 requires an SOQ Submission Date of July 6, 2015. Based on the amount of information and the level of effort required to submit a competitive SOQ, including the July 4th weekend, we respectfully request a minimum four-week extension of this date to August 3, 2015. If an extension of this date is not possible, we request that the requirements of the RFQ be revised to focus on Offeror qualifications, e.g., Sections 4.3.1 Project Experience and 4.3.3 Key Personnel, and defer the requirements of Section 4.3.2 Understanding of the Project, and narrative requirements of Sections 4.4.2, Bored Tunnel Organization, and/or 4.5.2, Immersed Tube Tunnel Organization, into the subsequent Request for Proposals requirements. This will allow more reasonable time to prepare and submit the Offeror Qualifications, and assess Offeror qualifications to determine a short-list. These narratives can then be included as part of the Proposal requirements.</p>	<p>SOQs must be submitted in accordance with the schedule and requirements set forth in the RFQ.</p>
23	6/1/2015	3.3.3	<p>Per the RFQ, the requirement for the Lead Mechanical Engineer position is as follows: "Lead Mechanical Engineer with (15) of more years of experience in the design of tunnel systems including ventilation, fire protection, drainage, and emergency egress, as well as demonstrable experience with fire engineering, CFD modeling, and the application of the NFPA 502 Standard for Road Tunnels, Bridges, and Other Limited Access Highways." This requirement is very broad and encompasses several distinct specialties. Most mechanical engineers cannot meet all of these requirements. Is it permissible to submit qualifications for two or more mechanical engineers that have the combined qualifications required by this position?</p>	<p>The Lead Mechanical Engineer submitted with the SOQ will be evaluated based upon the stated requirements in the RFQ.</p>
24	6/1/2015	4.4.1	<p>This section requests (2) projects "...for large diameter roadway or rail tunnels...in similar geologic environments..." We request that this be revised to allow Offeror's experience with tunnels of similar diameter and geologic environments of any type, including water, CSO, etc., since the design and construction challenges encountered in other types of tunnels can be similar or greater than those of the Parallel Thimble Shoal Tunnel Project, regardless of the subsequent construction that takes place within the tunnel.</p>	<p>Projects submitted with the SOQ must meet the requirements set forth in the RFQ.</p>

25	6/3/2015	2.6	Is there any possibility to extend the deadlines for submission of RFQ questions, the District's response to RFQ questions and the SOQ submission date?	No.
26	6/3/2015	4.3.2	Please clarify whether public outreach/communications efforts will be led by CBBT or will it be part of the scope of work for the design-builder? The intent of the question is to determine whether our communications effort is a supporting role to CBBT or leading the entire effort.	There is no public outreach work included in the scope of work. The only requirements for communications relate to the Offeror's plan for communicating with the District and key stakeholders, such as the maritime community and regulatory agencies, during design and construction.
27	6/3/2015	2.6	Please consider moving the SOQ submission date up to July 2, 2015. This way any firms that wish to turn the SOQ in early need not worry about an addendum being issued after they turn in their SOQ	The SOQ submission date will not be changed. The final date for issuance of addenda, as set forth in Section 2.6, is 6/19/15.
28	6/5/2015	N/A	What are the disposal options for tunnel boring material (muck) in the event it does not pass Section 103 (Ocean disposal) requirements? Craney Island (CIDMMA)? Would Weanack's permitted upland dredge disposal site in Charles City VA be a possible option? Are there other upland disposal sites identified? Subtitle D Landfills?	Excavated material from a tunnel boring machine will be required to go to an upland disposal site.
29	6/5/2015	4.2.6	Would it be possible to increase the Executive Summary length from 3 to 5 pages to allow more space for all team members?"	Yes. The RFQ page requirements for the Executive Summary will be revised in Addendum No. 2.
30	6/5/2015	4.4.3	Would the District consider value added resumes for Non-Key Personnel included in the organization chart? It's important for the Offerors to demonstrate the depth of the experience provided in this Project."	No. Any additional information regarding the experience of non-Key Personnel can be summarized, if Offeror so chooses, in Sections 4.4.2 or 4.5.2.
31	6/5/2015	5.2.1	As a follow up to Addendum No. 1, Item 3) replacement of the final paragraph in Section 5.2.1 - will words contained in charts, graphs, tables and other graphics be allowed in other than Times New Roman, 12 point font?	Except as provided for in the amended Section 5.2.1, all documents submitted with the RFQ shall use Times New Roman, 12 point font.
32	6/5/2015	4.5.1	For specific immersed tube tunnel project experience to be included in Section 4.5.1 of the SOQ, please confirm that it is acceptable to escalate the design and construction costs from years past to present day dollars (2015).	Contract values submitted for project experience shall be not be escalated to present dollars.

33	6/5/2015	4.3.5	In regard to Section 4.3.5, could CBBT provide additional details regarding the scope of the Quality Management Program that will be expected of the Design-Build Contractor? Does the scope include Quality Control (QC) and Quality Assurance (QA) activities; or just QC activities, with CBBT staff responsible for the QA component?	The District will perform Quality Assurance of the Design Build Contractor’s Quality Program. The Quality Assurance Manager (QAM) will be a District representative. However, we expect that the normal QA/QC process of the Design Build Contractor’s work will be implemented for this project.
34	6/5/2015	5.2.2	Can the District please confirm whether two single-sided 11” x 17” pages may be used for each project experience example?	All pages submitted with the SOQ shall be single-sided. Also, see answer to Question #8.
35	6/5/2015	2.3 (1)	Does the scope of work described in section 2.3.(1) include the dredging of the 1,000-ft wide Thimble Shoal Navigation Channel to a depth of 70 feet below Mean Lower Low Water Elev. 0.0? If so, is it expected that the dredging would be performed under both tunnel methods or only under the immersed tube option? And, if dredging is expected, what would be the length of such dredging within the 1,000-ft wide channel? Would the length be confined to the so-called Study Area as designated in Attachments A and B of the RFQ?	No channel dredging is required. The extent of dredging would be a result of the Design Build Contractor’s tunneling method selection and its means and methods for Portal Island expansions.
36	6/5/2015	2.3.1	Will the Offeror be provided the opportunity to price the Geotechnical requirements set forth in Section 2.3.1 as an alternate during the RFP phase?	No. All pricing submitted during the RFP phase will be lump-sum and include all work required to complete the Work as set forth in the contract documents.
37	6/5/2015	2.9	Are the contents offered on a flash drive or equivalent portable electronic storage media identical to those obtainable through downloading from the District’s website?	Yes.
38	6/5/2015	4.1.3	With regard to section 4.1.3, what is the exact wording that would be necessary to “clearly mark” each and every page deemed confidential or proprietary by the Offeror? Is the term “Confidential – Not for Public Release” satisfactory, when stamped/printed in each page deemed confidential or proprietary by the Offeror?	Offeror’s should follow the direction set forth in Section 10.4 of the RFQ.
39	6/5/2015	4.1.3	Who will have access to the confidential or proprietary information by the Offeror?	The SOQ responses will be reviewed by the District’s Evaluation Team and may also be reviewed by the District’s legal advisor, financial advisor and design manager for the Project.
40	6/5/2015	4.2.4	With regard to section 4.2.4, in the case of a joint venture structured to serve as the Offeror submitting the SOQ, is it necessary to submit a copy of the joint venture agreement? If so, where should it be attached in the submission?	No.

41	6/5/2015	4.2.5	With regard to section 4.2.5, in case of a joint venture serving as the Offeror for the Project, would the District consider such joint venture the "Lead Contractor" for purposes of providing responses to several questions in the RFQ directed to the Lead Contractor, or would one of the members of such a joint venture Offeror need to be specifically designated "Lead Contractor"?	See the answer to Question #10.
42	6/5/2015	4.2.5	With regard to section 4.2.5, in case of a joint venture serving as the Lead Designer for the Project, would the District consider such joint venture the "Lead Designer" for purposes of providing responses to several questions in the RFQ directed to the Lead Designer, or would one of the members of such a joint venture Offeror need to be designated "Lead Designer"?	If a joint venture is serving as the Lead Designer for the Project, the District will accept for evaluation on Form J-2 relevant project experience from any design joint venture member who is performing at least 20% of the design work.
43	6/5/2015	4.3.1, Att. D	The text quoted above, from section 4.3.1 on page 26, seems to imply a <u>maximum of six (6) design-build projects</u> , but Attachment D, on the other hand, seems to imply a <u>maximum of 12 projects</u> , with a total of six (6) projects, with two (2) pages maximum per project, for the Lead Contractor (through Attachment J-1) and a total of six (6) projects, with two (2) pages maximum per project, for the Lead Designer (through Attachment J-2). Could you please clarify how many projects in total are allowed for the Lead Contractor and the Lead Designer in this category?	See the answer to Question #11.
44	6/5/2015	4.3.1, Att. D	In the case of a construction joint venture serving as the Offeror, can one or several of the joint venture members submit their design-build project experience to satisfy the requirements of section 4.3.1?	See the answer to Question #10.
45	6/5/2015	4.3.1, Att. D	In the case of a design joint venture serving as the Lead Designer, can one or several of the joint venture members submit their design-build project experience to satisfy the requirements of section 4.3.1?	See the answer to Question #42.
46	6/5/2015	4.3.1	With regard to Section 4.3.1, does the expression "listed projects" refer to a project submitted by the Lead Contractor or does it refer to any of the projects listed by the Lead Designer, regardless of participation by the Lead Contractor?	"Listed projects" refers to any of the projects submitted to demonstrate the experience of either the Lead Contractor or the Lead Designer.
47	6/5/2015	4.3.1	With regard to Section 4.3.1, does the expression "same capacity" mean serving as lead designer of (at least) one of the projects listed by the Lead Designer?	Yes.

48	6/5/2015	4.4.1	The text of Section 4.4.1, on pages 28-29, seems to imply a maximum of two (2) bored tunnel projects, but Attachment D, on the other hand, seems to imply a maximum of four (4) projects, with a total of two (2) projects, with 2 pages maximum per project, for the Lead Contractor (through Attachment J-1) and a total of two (2) projects, with 2 pages maximum per project, for the Lead Designer (through Attachment J-2). Could you please clarify how many projects in total are allowed for the Lead Contractor and the Lead Designer in this category?	Section 4.4.1 states that “Offeror shall list two (2) successfully completed bored tunnel projects <i>each</i> for both the Lead Contractor and Lead Designer...” The total required, therefore, is 4 projects.
49	6/5/2015	4.4.1	The text of Section 4.4.1 on pages 28-29, also states that the “constructed value of the two (2) tunnel projects submitted shall each be greater than \$250 million”. Does this mean tunnel projects submitted by the Lead Contractor or the Lead Designer or both?	All projects listed shall have a constructed value of \$250 million or greater.
50	6/5/2015	4.4.1	In the case of a construction joint venture serving as the Offeror, can only one of the joint venture members submit its bored tunnel project experience to satisfy the requirements of section 4.4.1?	See the answer to Question #10.
51	6/5/2015	4.4.1	In the case of a design joint venture serving as the Lead Designer, can only one of the joint venture members submit its bored tunnel project experience to satisfy the requirements of section 4.4.1?	See the answer to Question #42.
52	6/5/2015	5.2.2 (1)	With regard to section 5.2.2.(1), several original documents regarding leading participants (e.g. financial statements, legal or financial letters) are available only in International Organization for Standardization (ISO) standard size A4 (measuring 210 by 297 millimeters, or 8.27 x11.7 inches). Those documents fit within a standard U.S. 3-ring binder, but they are not U.S. letter sized (8.5” x 11”). Will the District accept the presentation of original documents in ISO sizes, such as A4 ?	Yes. The District will accept original documents that are only available in standard size A4.
53	6/5/2015	5.2.3 (1)	Are there any other limitations to be observed in terms of line spacing and page margins?	There are no requirements for line spacing or margins set forth in the RFQ.
54	6/5/2015	5.2.3	With regard to section 5.2.3, the financial information required of at least one leading participant (financial statements) corresponds to approximately 300 pages, with another 200-300 pages of financial information expected from others. Is there any limitation on the number of pages per volume, outside of the strict page limitations for specific sections covered elsewhere in the RFQ?	The District is not seeking to review hundreds of pages of detailed financial reports. Please provide, at a minimum, audited Profit & Loss and Balance summary sheets, as would normally be provided in an Annual Report, for the Offeror, or if Offeror is a joint venture, for each individual equity owner.
55	6/5/2015	5.2.3	With regard to section 5.2.3, is there any limitation on the specific size of binders acceptable to the District?	No.

56	6/5/2015	10.2.7, 9.2	With regard to sections 10.2.7 and 9.2, is there any similar restriction on the participation of subcontractors which are not DBE firms?	No.
57	6/5/2015	10.2.7, 9.2	With regard to sections 10.2.7 and 9.2, is there any similar restriction on the participation of individual professionals in Key roles?	If individual professionals are employed by firms covered under Section 10.2.7 and 9.2, then those Sections would apply.
58	6/5/2015	10.6.3	With regard to section 10.6.3, must the “internal control systems” required per Section 10.6.3 above be in place at what time? SOQ submission, proposal submission, or at contract award?	Offerors must be in compliance with the administrative requirements set forth in Section 10.6 at the time of submission of the SOQ.
59	6/5/2015	3.2 (3), Att. D	With regard to section 3.2.(3), a standard, original surety letter generally consists of a one-page, single-sided executed letter and attached power of attorney instrument, in a single sheet but double-sided, resulting in two (2) sheets and three (3) pages. In the case of two surety letters, there would be a minimum of four (4) sheets and six (6) pages. How does the District expects an Offeror to conform to the 2-page limit stated in Attachment D?	See the answer to Question #9.
60	6/5/2015	Att. D, Att. E	With regard to the listing of affiliated/subsidiary companies, required per Section 4.2.9, limited to one (1) page per Attachment D, how should an Offeror respond in case its list of such affiliated/subsidiary companies cannot be contained in one page, more specifically the 12 available rows in Attachment E? And, in the case of a Offeror organized as joint venture, should its member firms submit such information individually, or should the joint venture itself, if newly formed, indicate that it has no affiliated or subsidiary companies?	See the answer to Question #15.
61	6/5/2015	Att. D, Att. E	In the case of a joint venture between designers, can a non-US-based designer (holding the necessary tunnel qualifications of having worked with Lead Contractor in a similar tunnel valued at over \$250 million) utilize, in the positions of Design Manager, Lead Tunnel Designer and Geotechnical Manager, individuals members of its JV design partners which fulfill all the individual requirements for the positions?	See the answer to Question #23. The answer provided applies to all Key Personnel positions.
62	6/5/2015	Att. F-1	With regard to RFQ Attachment F-1, particularly with the references above to “Federal”, “State” or “local” entities, departments, agencies, transactions or contracts, does the District refer to them exclusively in the context of the United States and its territories?	Yes.
63	6/5/2015	Att. F-2	With regard to RFQ Attachment F-2, particularly with the reference above to “any Federal department or agency”, does the District refer to them exclusively in the context of the United States and its territories?	Yes.

64	6/5/2015	Att. H	How should an Offeror respond in case its list of businesses that must be listed in this page cannot be contained in one page, more specifically the 12 available rows of Attachment H?	Offerors may use continuation pages for Attachment H as may be necessary to comply with the requirements of Section 4.6.4.
----	----------	--------	---	--